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Abstract

Different cultures of the world have gender specifications for cultural and professional activities- religious or social. Among the Yoruba, Ifá is the foundation on which the culture rests. That is, every cultural activity is connected back to and referenced in Ifá. Ayó olópón is an indigenous Yoruba game that has gained an international nomenclature in the present time. Despite the influence of Ifá on every aspect of the Yoruba life, no work has been carried out linking or relating ayó olópón with to Ifá, particularly on gender. This gap is filled by this study. Cultural studies, which emphasize the social power that encodes the culture in society are adopted using the comparative method. Adopting a cultural approach offers an opportunity for using appropriate analytical tools necessary at any point of analysis. The scope of cultural studies accommodates interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary fields of academic inquiry. Relying on Ifá corpora texts, incantation and interviews from Ifá practitioners and ayó olópón’s players and author’s observations from the field, gender specificity in Ifá is compared with gender roles in ayó olópón to establish gender synergies between the two cultural elements. It is found that there is a cultural confluence between male gender activities in Ifá and ayó performances. It is also found that there is communities’ cultural change resulting in growth and development, with the involvement of the female gender in ayó olópón in contemporary times among the Yoruba. This study concludes that the male gender relates ayó olópón to Ifá.

Keywords: Ayó olópón, Ifá, Gender, Socio-cultural, Religion, Cultural studies.

INTRODUCTION
Gender “refers to the cultural traits and behaviors deemed appropriate for men or women by a particular society” (Wardhaugh, 2010, p. 334). Masculinity as used in this study has to do with male cultural roles. Among Africans in general and the Yoruba people in particular, cultural elements such as religion and sociocultural activities are more associated or appropriate with one gender than another. This is why gender roles in between a cultural activity or element and another can be used as trace elements of relationships within a culture. The Yoruba believe that “life is an aggregate of relationships” (O’Connell, 2013, p. 2). The relationships can be within and between different cultural activities. such as the gender relationship being investigated between Ifa and ọ́ọ̀rọ́pọ̀. Ifa can be described as the compendium of the Yoruba culture. This means all aspects of Yoruba life are evinced from it. Ọ́ọ̀rọ́pọ̀ is an indigenous Yoruba game that is played in many nations of the world today.

The interrelationship in the male gender association with Ifa and ọ́ọ̀rọ́pọ̀ is a tilting ground of cultural research establishing reasons behind gender similarities between the two cultural elements among the Yoruba. This is justified by the fact that, while some reasons have been established with Ifa male gender, reasons behind the male gender in ọ́ọ̀rọ́pọ̀ is hazy till the present time, the inquiry of which can be traced from Ifa, the reference-point of all cultural activities of the Yoruba. As “Sometimes it is difficult to determine, or even to imagine, how certain elements of ideology originated or what they can possibly tell us about the past experience of a society” (Lenski & Lenski, 1987, p. 41). This is the case with ọ́ọ̀rọ́pọ̀ where the male gender cultural ideology remains daunting.

Therefore, the main aim of this study is to validate that, male gender restriction to ọ́ọ̀rọ́pọ̀ is an extension of Ifa’s male gender influence. That is, the male gender is a cultural relationship that connects Ifa to ọ́ọ̀rọ́pọ̀. The objectives of this study are to compare the male gender roles in Ifa with male gender players in ọ́ọ̀rọ́pọ̀ and to account for that ọ́ọ̀rọ́pọ̀ male gender evolves from Ifa male gender specification.

**REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

**Background to gender**

Different cultural activities prescribe what is appropriate for men and women. However, those cultural prescriptions are not on the same aggregates between male and female genders. That is, the end result of gender prescription in different cultural activities is gender disparity or inequality. There have been different postulations on the causes of gender inequality. Some believe that gender inequality can be biologically determined and to some authors, gender can only be socially accounted for (Giddens 1996 & Haralambos 1980). Those who believe in biology or nature as the basic cause of gender inequality are sociologists. And those who are of the opinion that society is the basic cause of gender inequality are known as socioculturalists. In between these two opinions come the suggestion that both the sociobiologists and the socioculturalists to be blamed for gender inequality are the bioculturalists (Asue 2010).

Asue classifies the proponents of gender inequality into four categories; using Nigeria’s experience: “creationists (sociobiologists), naturalists (sociologists), structuralists (socioculturalists, and survivalists (bioculturalist)” (2010, p. 7). The creationists rest their case on gender inequality to creation; citing references from the bible (Genesis 1-3), where a woman is to be subordinate to man, and Quran (7: 19-21) “follows the trajectory typified in the Judeo-Christian understanding. African Traditional Religion simply believes that a woman is a man’s property. This is reflected in the Nigerian constitution whereby a woman seldom exists independent of her marital life” (2010, p. 7). This opinion is criticized by the facts provided by Archaeologists from Europe and Near East that “Paleolithic civilization practiced goddess worship and were organized as matriarchies” (2010, p. 8). The gender prescription in religious activities is implied. The order of gender spiritual roles is spelled out in the sacred books guiding the activities of the religion. This is the case, among the Yoruba.

There are prescribed male and or female gender roles in worshipping Yoruba deities. For example, among the Yoruba people of Southwestern Nigeria, goddesses such as Ọya and Ọṣun who are river goddesses; where the female gender is actively involved. However, there are women gender limitations on some religious practices, and in some cases, there is total exclusion of women’s gender on some religious rites such as the case of Oro (the divinity of wild wind); which must not be sighted by women. The deity has zero tolerance for the female gender. In the case of Ifa, there is a limit to which women can get involved in some religious activities. However, gender restriction is also perceived to be activated in some social activities because of the synergy between the deity and the social system or event. This is perceived to be the case with ọ́ọ̀rọ́pọ̀ male’s connection being investigated in this study.

Naturalists are of the view that the natural division or allocation of labor as a result of biology accounts for gender inequality. Therefore, women are not being maltreated by men. The biological composition of women’s nature makes them weak beings. This opinion is further buttressed by the fact that the genetic construct of human beings has a relational influence on their behaviors (Tiger & Fox 1972). The opinion of the naturalists is believed to be one of the major reasons (in some cases) why gender is preferred for some activities. However, religious activities have their own gender assignments not bound by the cultural division of labor in society. However, one assumes such should not apply to social activity such as ọ́ọ̀rọ́pọ̀. The perceived gender synergy between Ifa and ọ́ọ̀rọ́pọ̀ is to establish that ọ́ọ̀rọ́pọ̀ have some religious collocations. This resemblance is believed to be occasioned by the relationship that exists between the two cultural elements and events.

The structuralists based their opinion on gender inequality on the structures of the society. This can be associated with the cultural gender prescriptions in a society; while the survivalists are of the view that gender inequality arises as a result of class struggle. That is, men are seen as powerful and women as weak; making the men to overpower the women in performing some cultural activities. This opinion can, to some extent, be true in relation to some cultural activities that have no gender specification. The opinion of the structuralists can be an extension of the naturalists’ view, in a situation like this, one can argue that men are naturally or biologically created stronger and more powerful than the female gender. However, this is not to overrule the possibility of some women being powerful or stronger than men.

Mcintosh’s study of the lives of Yoruba women between 1820 and 1960 “is a welcome addition to the literature on gender, power, and culture in West Africa. Yoruba women in the precolonial and colonial eras were involved in other
aspects of community life. Among the Yoruba, gender specifications in cultural activities are just like the Victorian notion of separate spheres for women and men” (2009, p. 1). Some religious and sociocultural activities functions are gender specific. Some authors are of the opinion that religious and cultural activities play a central role in the propagation of patriarchal gender norms because “they believe that God destined men to be in charge and women to be governed by men is evident in many passages of the Islamic and Christians Holy Books” (Adeyiwi-Ogunṣakin, 2014, p. 12). The men’s domination of some religious and cultural activities is not limited to the Islamic and Christianity religions alone but extends to the African religion as well. It can be summed up from different views on gender above that there are gender roles, which are “positions in societies that can be filled by individuals” in relation to gender (Lenski & Lenski, 1987, p. 44) in a society. The reasons for gender role specifications arise because roles are an important mechanism of social control; encouragement of specialization, cooperation among people, and specialization results in interdependence by empowering the people (Lenski & Lenski, 1987). Male or female specializing in a profession is influenced by cultural transmission, which relates to how cultural activities (including gender specification) are transmitted acquired, or inherited by the successive generation. The male gender in Ifa and ayo ọlọpọ̀n is influenced by tradition. Since ayo ọlọpọ̀n being related in this study is not a religious activity, one expects that there should be gender flexibility in its performance. This is because, unlike religion which is a divine, social activities are culture-made.

**Ifa and Ayo ọlọpọ̀n: A review**

*Ifa* is a divination system created by the Yorùbá people of South Western Nigeria some 2000 years ago. In the course of succeeding centuries, The Ifa priests (Babalawo) have developed the original form bequeathed them by ṭrūnmílá, the reforming prophet of the OODUWA ERA of Yorùbá history (5000B.C. – 500A.D.) in many ways but have kept its canons essentially unchanged to this very day” (Ileṣànmi, 2010, p. ix). Because of the nomenclature of *Ifa* that cut across nations of the world, *Igba* is known to other people by different names throughout the world. For example, *Igba* is known as “Fà” among the Fon of the Republic of Benin, *Evà* to Nupes, *Igba* in Cuba, USA, Brazil, Trinidad and Tobago, Jamaica, Surinam, and Togo. *Igba* is referred to by the Ewe as Afa, *Ephod* by Jews, Geomancy by Europeans and Margays” (Ođeýëmí, 2013, p. 5). The divination system is universal among these nations like with the Yoruba people. The divination system is a specialized knowledge that makes it a religious cult. The language and the system of the denomination cannot be understood by an “outsider-” non-member.

Various aspects of Yoruba culture cannot be properly understood without awo- cult; as walks and works of life may fall into the applicatory tentacles of culture. Interestingly, there can be no culture without a ‘cult’. Here, I do not use the word cult in its esoteric sense; rather, I use it to mean specialized knowledge reserved for a certain group of people. Our earth is full of specialized knowledge and consequently of ‘cults’. Only trained lawyers can practice; the untrained are looked upon as educated but not ‘learned’. Without borrowing ideas from the West, the Yoruba system of professional training is also based on a ‘cult’ (awo or guild) pattern. Each professional discipline has a prefix of awo. Thus, awo means specialized training involving a gradual and graduated system of acquisition of knowledge whereby one does not proceed to the next step until one has mastered the preceding steps. Thus, Ifa is given the cognomen ‘babalawo’ (father of all cults) not only because he is the father of all the apprentices and advocates of his art, but because this ‘cult’ has to enlighten every other ‘cult’ or guild, and every individual Yoruba person about the first step to take in life. Ifa itself has its own peculiar nuances of professional operation which each apprentice has to learn and master. Like Ifá, there are other different awo-cults, “awo ọdọ̀ (the cult or guild of the hunters), awo abiyamo (the cult of incarnation) which deals with fertility, childcare, agriculture, and reproduction of all living beings; awo ọgbọ̀ or awo ọṣogbọ̀ (the cult of politics), awo iyami otherwise known as awo ọjọ̀ (the cult of the witches), awo ọgbẹ́n (the cult of the herbalists), etc. All these ‘cults’ have special roles to play in the thorough organization of the Yoruba community (Ilesanmi, 2004, pp. 8-10).

The opinion above posits that there is no activity: religious or non-religious that is not guided by cultural participants in terms of gender. Some cultural activities and professions are more prominent to gender than others. Gender influences why it is rare for the female gender to be an active member of the hunters’ guild among the Yoruba. Likewise, the male gender rarely gets involved in “awo iyami otherwise known as awo ọjọ̀ (the cult of the witches)” (Ilesanmi, 2004, p. 10). However, as being investigated in this study, there seems to be a crisscrossing of gender specifications around cultural activities that relate them together. In this case, there are gender relationships in-between the cultural activity as being investigated between *Igba* and ayo ọlọpọ̀n gender relationships in this study.

Various *Igba* corpora prescribe gender specificity to various cultural activities among the Yoruba. In addition, the limitation, and consequences of violation of cultural gender prescriptions are sometimes encapsulated in *Igba* corpora epistles. Yoruba cultural structure in *Igba* is developed to and capable of influencing society positively, not only among the Yoruba people but to humanity in general. As a result of *Igba*’s influence on human development, in 2005, the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) declared that *Igba* is one of the 86 traditions of the world to be recognized as masterpieces of oral and intangible heritage of humanity (Robinson 2008). By this pronouncement, *Igba* is added to the world heritage contributing to human development. The prominent roles of *Igba*, as a religion, science, or literary text, has over time been a concern to scholars in different areas of human endeavors, like medicine, philosophy, religion, art, history, socio-political, social, economic, and culture.

This is why *Igba* serves as a trace element in different aspects of Yorùbá and human life in various ways. This is why the scope of *Igba* is multifarious. *Igba* establishes the intra-cultural relationship among the Yoruba and it authenticate the validity of Yoruba cultural activities. *Igba* is the reference point of Yoruba culture. That is, one will gain more insights into some other cultural aspects such as religious, social, economic, political, and scientific systems of the peoples’
life (past and present); because Ifa’s knowledge extends to them. Gender specificity and peculiarity of ayọ ọlọpọ̀n is perceived believed to be influenced by the proto-gender the game derived from Ifa. It is believed that the relationship of ayọ ọlọpọ̀n to Ifa can only explain why some activities around the game are hazy, such as the male gender limitation or restriction known with ayọ ọlọpọ̀n.

Like Ifa, the international status of ayọ ọlọpọ̀n is also not in doubt. For instance, apart from its popularity among the Yorùbá it is called Gisoro in Burundi, Owara in Ghana, Achachodi in Ivory Coast, Adjito/Vadjito in Benin, Ayo Eleh in Igbo and Kalati in Sweden. (Appiah-Agyei, 2013). Ayọ ọlọpọ̀n has been incorporated into activities of some Yoruba festivals, such as ọlọjọ festival in lle-Iṣẹ, Ṣosun State, Nigeria. There are other Ifa corpora that address ayọ ọlọpọ̀n in different forms. However, the gender correlation between Ifa and ayọ ọlọpọ̀n is within the scope of this study.

The roles of ayọ ọlọpọ̀n, just like Ifa have been found to span beyond relaxation or entertainment but in addition have health functions for the players. Ayọ ọlọpọ̀n has equally been established to have effects on the health of the players. The game has been developed to be played in social media for necessary accessibility to the derived benefits of engaging in ayọ ọlọpọ̀n. In the opinions of Oyelere et al, “Since Ayọ is the most ancient traditional board game, it implies that sufferers will once again have the feel of their culture on mobile platforms...Its acceptability by demented people and potential sufferers will not be an issue...These attributes we believe will help African sufferers to recover faster” (2014, p. 25). However, the cultural limitation of the female gender may be an impediment to giving the male gender equal opportunity as the male gender counterpart or maybe, there is likely to be no such a barrier because of the non-physical contact with some cultural materials such as ọpọ̀n-ayọ-game board and ọmọ ayọ- ayọ seeds. This is, however, subject to further research beyond the scope of this research work.

Among scholars that have worked on ayọ ọlọpọ̀n is Kömọlađé (1978). He estimates Yorùbá traditional games to be over twenty, which ayọ ọlọpọ̀n is one of the leading indigenous Yoruba games. All the games are grouped into four categories: indoor, outdoor, children, and adult games. Ayọ ọlọpọ̀n is found to have more material associated with it than any other indigenous Yoruba games. (Aromọlaran & Mustapha 1976). Ayọ is also one of the indigenous Yoruba games (if not the only one) that has gained international nomenclature. It is perceived to possibly be a result of some affiliations the game has to Ifa such as the gender being investigated in this study. Other authors such as Ladhélé et al (1986), Daramolá & Jeje (1967), Arômọlaràn and Mustapha (1976) likewise work around some principles governing ayọ ọlọpọ̀n. However, there is no work that examines any links ayọ ọlọpọ̀n have with Ifa. This research shortfall denies us to be able to account or give reason to some cultural activities and symbolisms around the game.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Material**

Materials for this study are majorly sourced from established findings on Ifa and ayọ ọlọpọ̀n’s texts, relevant Ifa corpora, Yoruba incantations, and interviews.

(i) Only men can become babaláwọ and he never encountered a single female Ifa priest acting as a divorcer during any of his extensive field studies in the cities of Ifé, Igbà, Meko, Òyó, Ilesì, Abéôkúta, Oṣogbo, Sàgàmù, Ilàra, Ondo, ìjèbù-Ôde or Èkìtì in Yorùbáland in 1937-38, 1950-51 in 1960 and 1965, nor did any of his informants mention such a thing. Sources from Yorùbáland going back to the mid-19th century state that only men can become ìfà diviners (Wikipedia, 2012, p. 4).

(iiia) It was related to one of or respondents that the final graduation to becoming an Ifa priest, that is, Babaláwọ, involves many rituals at the Ifa grove known as Igbádlù. During the initiation, only men are allowed into the sacred place while women stay at a “market” referred to as ìjì ìbìnìrì located close to the grove which explains why there are few female diviners. A popular myth that supports the restriction of women from popular participation in Ifa divination states that since one of Òrùnmílà’s wives is Odu (Ifa corpus), female diviners should not have her included in their system of divination. Thus, the sixteen-cowry system of divination (ṣẹ̀rè̀́nlùnlù́nlù́) which is popular among women borrows most of its ideas from the Ifa divination...The myth surrounding the involvement of women in the sixteen-cowry divination system is that Òrùnmílà, the founder of Ifa thought one of his wives, Ṣosun, the art of divining with sixteen cowries to keep her busy when he was not at home. In recognition of some other domestic responsibilities of his wife, Òrùnmílà knew the Ifa text will be too difficult for her. He, therefore, made her own system of divination easier to comprehend by incorporating the central message of each of the sixteen principal Ifa corpora into the relevant corpus of the sixteen cowries system of divination (Ọṣunwọlé 2018, pp. 49-50).

(iiib) Àgbà ọṣù lágbá“An old person fell on another old person”

Poro agogo

“It sounds like the echo of a bell: poro agogo”

A díá fún Ọjọ́yá,

“Cast divination for Ọjọ́yá,”

A b’ọmọ-ọjọ́yá-lágbá.

“The one with a multitude of children roundabout”

E wọ’le ayọ,

“Look at the holes of Ayọ,”

E wọmọ…

“Look at children…”

(iii) eni kóró,

“One inside”,

éjí kóró,

“second inside”,

ló dà ko ọpọ̀n ayọ

“cast divination for Ayọ”,

to n ọmí ojú ọjọ́bẹ́rẹ́ ọmọ:

“that is crying for children…”

E wọ ‘le ayọ, ọ wọmọ;

“look at the house of Ayọ and see children.”

a kí i lá ọ́jú ayọ láJI Kú...

“The house of Ayọ is always full of children.”

E wọ ‘le ayọ ọ w’ọmọ. !

“see the house of Ayọ and see children.”

(Fàbúnmí, 1972, p. 6).
Approach: Cultural Studies

Cultural studies are adopted for this study because it incorporates other approaches such as gender, sociology, and anthropology among others. The inclusion of gender in relation to culture makes the choice of approach apt for this study. The cultural field was first formulated by the Centre for Contemporary Studies, Birmingham University, Britain in 1964 as a graduate program to extend literary approaches to a wider perspective of historical, cultural, and political issues. Raymond Williams (1921-1983), a Welsh socialist of the center was of the view that; literature and works of art cannot be separated “from other kind of social practice” (Kennedy & Gioia, 2007, p. 665). Ifa as a religion and ayọ ọ̀lọ̀pọ̀n as a system of social practice relate to the cultural field being expanded by Raymond Williams. He called the approach cultural materialism which was later described as cultural criticism and cultural studies. The study focuses on Marxist and Feminist criticism along with the techniques of historical criticism with political analysis based on social class, race, and gender issues.

Even though, in theory, cultural studies critics might employ any methodology, however, in real terms, it lends from the “deconstruction Marxist analysis, gender criticism, race theory, and psychology” (Kennedy & Gioia, 2007, p. 665). Gender is one of the concerns of cultural studies as there are roles and specifications in every culture. Cultural studies borrow from gender criticism and race theory considering the social inequality between sexes and races. It seeks to investigate how these inequalities have been reflected in the texts or cultural activities within the historical period of society. The “social inequality between sexes” being canvassed by the cultural approach advocates is relevant to examine the gender relations between Ifa and ayọ ọ̀lọ̀pọ̀n. A cultural approach is adopted because “a single approach will miss too much, will overlook important aspects of culture not perceptible to that particular angle of vision. A multitude of approaches will pick up an insight here and a piece of knowledge there and more of culture will enter into the inquiry. A diversity of methods will match the diversity of culture, thereby sheltering the true nature of culture from the reductive appropriations of formal disciplines” (Kennedy & Gioia, 2007, p. 667). Considering the various cultural elements in religion which Ifa is more associated with and social element in ayọ ọ̀lọ̀pọ̀n, the adoption of a cultural approach will give room for employing relevant aspects of culture that will account for adequate and comprehensive analysis of the socio-cultural and religious activities being examined at a time. It is believed that, an approach such as cultural studies that has broader perspectives capable of embracing different cultural elements such as religion (Ifa) and social (ayọ ọ̀lọ̀pọ̀n) in this study.

It is believed that religion, as the foundation of culture reflects and influences peoples’ patterns of life in society. Because, in Africa, there is not much difference between the culture and religion of the people. It is canvassed that “religion is a most important aspect of life. It pervades and permeates all aspects of life” (Ejizu, 2017, p. 3). That is, the absence of African religious practices in the other aspects of their life results to a “deformed culture” (Iṣẹlọ̀jọ̀, 2010, p. 36). The implication of these opinions is that religion, especially, indigenous religions has a greater influence on some other cultural aspects of the peoples’ life.

A cultural approach requires a proper understanding of the cultural materials in relation to the context of use in a text or activity. Culture or “tradition is what determines the question and the answer within the framework of interpretation” (Okonda, 2017, p. 50). That is, cultural symbolism and meanings are contextual. Meaning that, no constant meaning associations to cultural symbols. “It is only by ancient symbols that have numberless meanings besides the one or two the writer lays an emphasis upon” that one can account for their cultural values” (Kennedy & Gioia, 2007, p. 250).

Cultural studies encapsulate different cultural activities that require different knowledge for adequate understanding. The whole notion about culture has to do with “words that have their roots in ‘culture’ certainly possibly have some things in common – the least common factor initially at times the terms were in vogue. The word ‘cult’ connotes the preferential treatment which exclusively monopolizes and denies to others, which is the essence of the cult. The idea of exclusiveness is embedded in the notion of ‘cult’ and ‘culture’” (Ilesanmi, 2004, pp. 14-15). This means the Ifa and ayọ cults are to be examined within the purview of the Yoruba culture the extent to which the Yoruba precludes sex to the inclusion of the other; the cultural appropriateness of cultural activities.

METHODS

Cultural gender elements in Ifa are related to ayọ ọ̀lọ̀pọ̀n to account for their relationship. One of the ways to establish relationships among the Yoruba is by using the principle or knowledge of pairing two similar things including human beings that share related features, values, and forms in common, “such a symbolic association allows one thing to be used as a cue for the other” (Combs & Freedman, 1990, p. 126). The notion of relating two things or individuals with each other is further demonstrated in the Yoruba belief that life is based on relationships.

Sociologists believe that:

“comparison is the basis of all scientific knowledge. A scientific understanding of anything depends on comparisons of it with other things. To understand a pine tree, we have to compare it with other kinds of trees, and then compare trees with other kinds of plants, and plants with other forms of life, noting the similarities and differences involved in such comparison and using them to draw inferences about their causes and consequences. Only in this way, we can begin to understand what a pine tree is and why it is as it is” (Lenski & Lenski, 1987, pp. 3 –4).

The implication of the above opinion is that it is by comparison we can establish relationships within and in-between cultural elements or activities and society. By comparing the gender specification in Ifa with ayọ ọ̀lọ̀pọ̀n, we can be provided with cultural information which “is knowledge acquired through experience and conveyed through symbols” (Lenski & Lenski, 1987, p. 38). That is cultural information on why there are some gender prescriptions and limitations in ayọ ọ̀lọ̀pọ̀n that remain unresolved and unanswered today.

There are two major cultural groups in culture: primary-cliques and families and secondary communities, associations ad movements. The communities comprise geographical and cultural (Lenski & Lenski, 1987). However, the scope of this study is cultural communities within which the Yoruba people are united with common cultural
tradition in relation to Ifá and ayó Ọlọrọ́n among the Yoruba communities of South-West Nigeria.

**RESEARCH PROBLEM**

Among the Yoruba, it is believed that Ifá is a reference point for all the cultural life and activities of the people. However, no relationship has been established between Ifá and ayó Ọlọrọ́n. Before now, the male gender commonly engages in Ifá divination practice and playing ayó Ọlọrọ́n especially, in the past. It is imperative to ask and investigate whether there is male gender similarity between Ifá diviner and ayó Ọlọrọ́n player. That is, why are ayó Ọlọrọ́n players known with male gender just as Ifá practitioners? The outcome of the findings will account for the extent of male-gender similarities between the two cultural elements.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Results**

The result of this study shows that gender is an element of the relationship between Ifá and ayó Ọlọrọ́n among the Yoruba. The male gender affiliation with Ifá and ayó Ọlọrọ́n as investigated in this study is inflected by structuralist, biocultural, and naturalist views. The structuralist view (as earlier explained) has influenced the male gender with Ifá and ayó Ọlọrọ́n because of the cultural belief and societal structures of the Yoruba about women. Women are mostly engaged in domestic work; especially, in the evening time (before light is put on) when they will be busy preparing dinner. This may be one of the reasons why the female gender is rarely engaging in playing ayó Ọlọrọ́n. The involvement of cultural permission for women to be indulging in ayó Ọlọrọ́n is only allowed for women that have reached the menopause stage. This is because of the links between ayó seeds and women’s wombs. The gender specification in Ifá has greater effects on the male gender in ayó Ọlọrọ́n. The end result of the gender similarities between Ifá and ayó Ọlọrọ́n is that ayó is related to Ifá.

The involvement of the Female gender in ayó Ọlọrọ́n in contemporary times is a cultural “growth and development, … that many members of the societies will prefer not to make changes in beliefs and values, changes in patterns of social organization, changes in institutional arrangements” (Lenski & Lenski, 1987, p. 71). It is observed that there is a change in the belief of Yoruba communities in regard to the gender about ayó Ọlọrọ́n, even though, not marginal in the female gender involvement in ayó Ọlọrọ́n. Unlike Ifá is a religion- believed to be sacred, there may be rigidity in the cultural modification. The effect of technology and science in contemporary times excludes the human- participants. However, since ayó Ọlọrọ́n is basically believed to be a sociocultural event for entertainment and relaxation, further denial of the female gender will prevent them from the benefiting in the derived benefits in playing ayó Ọlọrọ́n that was discussed earlier. However, the effects of the violation of the cultural belief of the societies on the deprivation of the female gender from playing ayó Ọlọrọ́n are subject to future research that is outside the scope of the present study.

**Discussion**

Gender-Based Relationship in Ifá Divination and Ayó Ọlọrọ́n

Generally, there is gender inequality in cultural activities which “allows us to see the world through the eyes of the opposite sex” (Kennedy and Gioia, 2007, p. 287). Some cultural activities are prominently performed by one gender than the other. Some scholars such as Spence & Helmreich (1978), Diamond & Karlen (1980), Gilligan (1982) & Nelson (1988); are of the view that “gender” is different from “sex”, with the view that, “sex is said to be biological and deals with the physical characteristics which make a person male or female at birth. Gender, on the other hand, refers to the socially constructed role ascribed to women and men, as opposed to biological and physical characteristics” (Asue 2010, p. 11). Buttressing further on gender and sex, Akintunde reports “Basow has succeeded in the classification of sex roles and gender roles, and she identifies four of each. According to her, gender roles may differ from society to society, but sex roles are the same. For example, all over the world, it is only women who give birth to children. Second, gender roles can change with history; nothing can change sex roles. Third, gender roles can be performed by both sexes, sex roles can be only performed by one of the sexes. Finally, gender roles are socially and culturally determined; sex roles are biologically determined” (2005, p. 347). The differences between sex and gender give more insights to the understanding that Ifá and ayó Ọlọrọ́n are related to gender than sex because it is gender induced. For example, there are some Yoruba cultural activities that exclude some women from performing some religious and social functions and allow some. For example, women who are still rearing children are discouraged from openly playing ayó game among the Yoruba people of Southwestern Nigeria. That is, even though, “women also played a great role in the rituals and cultic religions of Yoruba land… It is arguable that this role is limited to certain and specific cults. Mostly, Yoruba women priestesses are associated with fertility cults and young women’s initiation rites into womanhood and marriage” (Odeyemi, 2013, p. 7). Even though, “there are priesthoods held exclusively by women by men while some may be held irrespective of sex” (Fadipo, 1970, p. 140), however, the religion of Ifá divination has been strictly restricted to the menfolk. In addition, children that are not mature, for instance, below the age of twenty as well as women are excluded from Ifá divination. Women are discouraged from involving in Ifá divination because, Ifá works through odú symbolism and, it is prescribed in Ifá religion that, a woman must not be initiated into odú cult as opines by William Bascom in (1)

Bascom research covers a wide Yoruba geographical area. He establishes that Ifá divination is exclusively men’s profession. The stage of initiation to Odú cult in Ifá disqualifies the women. The whole system of Ifá is based on Odú symbols. For a diviner to know the secret of Odú, he must be initiated into Odú. The cult of initiation to Odú, in which women are restrained by tradition, disqualifies women to be Ifá priests. In other words, if some women are involved in Ifá divination, they practice it within the pre-initiation stage. This is a stage whereby, they are permitted to recite a few numbers of eya-Ifá Ifa verses without introducing them to the secret behind Odú. Women that are involved in Ifá divination are therefore operating around this stage and not in the core cult of Ifá divination. Meaning that “there are some female diviners who are sometimes called ifa priests, but they do not belong to the inner core of cult members. It may therefore
be said that the Ifa cult is essentially a cult of male adherents (Abimbọlaja, 1976, p. 14).

The power and authority of Awo: diviner (in Ifa divination) orỌta- the winner in the Ayọ game, resides in Odu- the wife of Ọrunmọ́la: Ifa’s progenitor, (Adeoye, 1985, pp. 360-5, Akinyemi 2012, p. 10, Yemitan & Ọgùndèle 1970, pp. ix - x). According to Murphy “Because Odu is the power of Awo no woman must look upon her form … One who does not have Odu will not be able to consult Ifa” (2012, p. 4) Ifa Oluodu is the basic, powerful and dependable form of five classes of Ifa priests listed by Abimbọlaja (1976, pp. 13-14). Whoever will see Odu must be initiated into the cult of Awo. “Eni ti yó ojú bodu oliwo rẹ yó odi awo” (Adeoye, 1985, p. 238). That is, whoever will sight Odu must have been in the cult of Awo. Many ayo seeds are harvested or captured by Ṓdu  kikun-accumulation of seeds (Daramọla & Jeje, p. 1967). The mystical power behind Odu in both Ifa and ayo ọlọpn is a Yoruba cultural symbol that restricts the female gender in both religious (Ifa) and social (Ayọ ọlọpn) activities.

It is noticed that the female gender is strictly barred from initiation into Odu cult. This was reported by Ọṣunwọlé’ work in (ii). Some women are practicing divination found to be a variant of Ifa divination called ẹfèrinlọgún. However, there are disparities between it and Ifa. For example, unlike Ifa, ẹfèrinlọgún was devised so as to keep women less busy. That is, the system wasn’t devised for serious religious inquiry. This is why ẹfèrinlọgún system is not complex. The Odu poetic chants and the divination symbols are much easier to interpret than Ifa divination system. In addition, the contents of the divination are more of women’s cultural feminine issues such as marital and marriage problems, infertility, social insecurity, and other psycho-social problems.

In a Yoruba-dominated community, there are gender limitations and restrictions to some cultural events or activities such as Ifa divination profession. As a result of this limitation, Ifa profession is prominently occupied by the male gender. Few pockets of ọlọfarẹ- regarded as a female/mother Ifa diviner; are, however, excluded from some core-cult activities of Ifa. In addition to the exclusion of the female gender in some Ifa activities, there are prescribed requirements to be met before a female can successfully engage in the profession. Likewise, in ayọ ọlọpn, there are perceived male gender similarities which can be a cultural parameter to establish a relationship between Ifa and ayo ọlọpn.

In an interview conducted with Ifa diviners, Akalaifá and Ifatoki, they corroborate the opinions above that there are limitations of females’ involvement in Ifa divination practice as they are restricted to some core activities in the profession. Akalaifá maintains that is not a gain saying or exaggeration when the Yoruba adage says abinin ọ gbọdọ mowo. Bōbinr ma mowo, awo ya niyẹ. That is, a female must not be initiated into the cult of Ifa. Ifa that is violated, the cult is modulated. He cited that, the restriction or limitation of females from some Ifa activities is not only known with Ifa worshippers but is prominent till today among the Ọro worshippers that women dare not see Ọro in cult or costume.

A similar situation is observed in the management of Ifa profession. Official members are mostly dominated by men. It is observed here that, women are not among the sixteen Ifa chief priests in Yoruba tradition. For instance, there has never been a woman professional Ifa chief priest that is Ọrẹ (The leader of the Ifa priests). It is forbidden for women to see Ọdu face; even, an uninitiated man must not also see Ọdu’s face. In addition, an initiated priest, who will see Ọdu in her shrine, must be naked. From these peculiar nature of Ọdu, it is clear that women are restricted in one way or the other to be closely associated; especially, in cultic form with Odu (Adeoye 1985).

Relating this to the female gender, women’s restriction also extends to the playing of ayo ọlọpn. Both Ifa divination and ayo game are performed in an open (public) place as explained earlier. Sometimes, “women also play against men but never in public place. Ayọ Ọlọpn games are played by elders, adult men and young men” (Climent, Vincient & Casanovas, 2009b, p. 2). This shows that both women and the children are rarely seen playing ayo ọlọpn. It is however possible to have variants of ayo ọlọpn, just as we have a variant of Ifa: ẹfèrinlọgún, that resemble the real ayo ọlọpn, but in a predominantly Yoruba cultural society women are rarely seen playing ayo game publicly.

Ayo seeds and boards sometimes determine their names and gender performance of the game. O’Connell calls the basic ayo ọlọpn be “refer to it as Ayọ; but the Yoruba people will also refer to it as Ayọyọ meaning ‘real ayo’ which distinguishes the male version from those played by women and children” (2013, p. 1). It is observed that some variants of Ifa divination and ayo ọlọpn accommodate the female gender however, there is restrictions from the “real or core” practices as earlier explained. In other words, Ifa divination and ayo ọlọpn players belong to male adherents.

Fádípẹ (1970) gives the reason why women are rarely involved in playing ayo ọlọpn as ayo seeds symbolize children in the womb. The sanctity of womanhood is to be preserved by discouraging the women from playing ayo ọlọpn. There is the possibility that women are traditionally discouraged to play ayo ọlọpn in the open because ayo seeds in the holes of ọrọ ọno ayo are said to be an icon of a woman’s womb and the seeds occupying the holes is iconized by the state of pregnancy of a woman. In other words, there is a general assumption that there is a power of procreation in ayo ọlọpn. It is observed that “among the Yorubas, what has made the continuity of Ayọ game possible is their belief in it. This gives credence to their psychological assurance that a particular household where people play ayo game becomes endowed with children and wealth” (Orímọgúnjú, 2014, p. 49). Perhaps, it is inappropriate for the women, to be playing or toying with a symbol with which they are iconized. This is known with other Yoruba systems of belief as well. For instance, an image that represents a cult of ancestors is respected. This is why totemic images such as the family of ọkọyẹ must not kill or eat rodents. It is rather preserved from being harmed or killed because of the ancestral cult associated with it in Yoruba tradition.

It is a tradition that “ikọyẹ iji ọje Ọkẹtẹ, atugun ni bawọ ní nṣe. Bẹẹ si ni awọn Ọhọdọ iji ọje Ọkẹtẹ” (Ojajúbú, 1978, p. 29). That is, it is forbidden for the ọkọyẹ family to eat giant rats; it was always used to scatter people in war by their forefathers. So also the Ondo people don’t eat giant rats. It is equally possible that women are discouraged from playing ayo ọlọpn, because of the task of domestic work, because of the rigors of childbearing and child-rearing. However, women who have gone beyond the stage of childbearing and child-rearing, and domestic work...
may play ayọ when they are free. Females (who fall within age limits) are discouraged from playing ayọ ọlọpọn in an open place (as the game demands or requires) because, of some cultural repercussions of engaging in ayọ without meeting some requirements.

The iconisation of ayọ (Ọmọ ayọ) with procreation can as well be substantiated within a Yorùbá incantation text as indicated in (iii). The association of ayọ seeds in the game board with children is also expressed in (iib), *Odu Òwọnrin Méjì* as reported in Agbọla (1989, p. 89). As earlier explained in this study, there are some age gender specifications in performing some cultural activities. This is the case with ayọ ọlọpọn; which prohibits women who are still rearing children to play the game in the open.

One can sum up the gender denotative features in *Ifá* and ayọ ọlọpọn as +human +male (20 years +). The appropriateness of gender association with *Ifá* divination and ayọ ọlọpọn can be presented with plus (+) and minus (−) signs as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Ifa Oloolu</th>
<th>Ayọ Olopọn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult-Male</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult-Female</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children Male/Female</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE SHOWING GENDER SPECIFICATION FOR *IFA* DIVINATION AND *AYỌ OLOPỌN***

From the above table, the adult males are associated with *Ifá oloolu* and ayọ ọlọpọn indicated with positive (+), while both the women and the children are associated with negative (−). From this analysis, one can describe the adult age associated with ayọ ọlọpọn to twenty years plus (20+), as earlier explained.

**FINDING, RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION**

This study found that both *Ifá* and ayọ ọlọpọn performances belong to the male gender. Female gender that involves in the two cultural activities are rare and where female gender is found, there is a limit to which they are engaged in the activities of the two cultural elements. That is, there is a female restriction from engaging in *Ifá*, and female that is under reproduction from playing ayọ ọlọpọn in public. This finding establishes that on the one hand, ayọ ọlọpọn is related to *Ifá*. On the other hand, the global nomenclature of *Ifá* has relative effect and influence on the international status of ayọ ọlọpọn in recent times.

Before now, no cultural element or activity of in *Ifá*, especially on gender has been found to be related or connected to ayọ ọlọpọn as validated in this study. The relationship established between *Ifá* and ayọ ọlọpọn has increased our knowledge of understanding on the gender roles in the performance of ayọ ọlọpọn. With gender relationship established in this study, there is a need to carry out research on the involvement of the female gender in *Ifá* and ayọ ọlọpọn in the present time since both *Ifá* divination and ayọ ọlọpọn are performed on the social media. Future investigation being recommended here will account for the effects of the female engaging in *Ifá* and ayọ ọlọpọn on the culture of the Yoruba people. This study concludes that ayọ ọlọpọn male gender is influenced by *Ifá* male gender.
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